Fondamenti di Grafica Tridimensionale

Paolo Cignoni
p.cignoni@isti.cnr.it
http://vcg.isti.cnr.it/~cignoni

Simplification Algorithms

- Simplification approaches:
  - incremental methods based on local updates
    - mesh decimation [Schroeder et al. 92]
    - energy function optimization [Hoppe et al. 93,96,97]
    - quadric error metrics [Garland et al. '97]
  - coplanar facets merging
    - [Hinker et al. `93, Kalvin et al. `96]
  - Re-tiling
    - [Turk `92]
  - Clustering
    - [Rossignac et al. `93, ... + others]
  - Wavelet-based
    - [Eck et al. `95, + others]

Incremental methods based on ***local updates***

- All of the methods such that :
  - simplification proceeds as a sequence of ***local updates***
  - each update ***reduces mesh size*** and [monotonically] ***decreases*** the ***approximation precision***
- Different approaches:
  - **mesh decimation**
  - **energy function optimization**
  - **quadric error metrics**

- Local update actions:
  - **vertex removal** — ***n-2***
  - **edge collapse** — ***n-2***
    - **preserve location**
    - **new location**
  - **triangle collapse** — ***n-4***
    - **preserve location**
    - **new location**

No. Faces



The common framework:

- **loop**
  - ***select*** the element to be deleted/collapsed;
  - ***evaluate approximation*** introduced;
  - ***update*** the mesh after deletion/collapse;

until mesh **size/precision** is satisfactory;

Energy function optimization

Mesh Optimization **[Hoppe et al. `93]**

- Simplification based on the iterative execution of :
  - edge collapsing
  - edge split
  - edge swap



- approximation quality evalued with an **energy function** :

$$E(M) = E_{dist}(M) + E_{rep}(M) + E_{spring}(M)$$

which evaluates geometric **fitness** and repr. **compactness**

- $\mathbf{E_{dist}}$: sum of squared distances of the original points from M
- $\mathbf{E_{rep}}$: factor proportional to the no. of vertex in M
- $\mathbf{E_{spring}}$: sum of the edge lenghts

Algorithm structure

- outer minimization cicle (***discrete*** optimiz. probl.)
  - choose a legal action (edge collapse, swap, split) which reduces the energy function
  - perform the action and update the mesh (M_i -> M_{i+1})
- inner minimization cicle (***continuous*** optimiz. probl.)
  - optimize the vertex positions of M_{i+1} with respect to the initial mesh M_0

but (to reduce complexity)

- legal action selection is random
- inner minimization is solved in a fixed number of iterations

Mesh Optimization - *Examples*



[Image by Hoppe et al.]

Mesh Optimization - *Evaluation*

- high quality of the results
- preserves topology, re-sample vertices
- high processing times
- not easy to implement
- not easy to use (selection of tuning parameters)
- adopts a global error evaluation, but the resulting approximation is not bounded

Progressive Meshes
[Hoppe `96]

- execute **edge collapsing *only*** to reduce the *energy function*
- *edge collapsing* can be easily inverted ==> store sequence of inverse *vertex split* trasformations to support:
  - multiresolution
  - progressive transmission
  - selective refinements
  - geomorphs
- *faster* than MeshOptim.



a) An edge collapse and split

Preserving mesh ***appearance***
- shape and crease edges
- scalar fields discontinuities (e.g. color, normals)
- discontinuity curves


[image by H. Hoppe]

Managed by inserting two new components in the *energy function*:

- E_{scalar}: measures the accuracy of scalar attributes
- E_{disc}: measure the geometric accuracy of discontinuity curves

Progressive Meshes
Examples



Progressive Meshes - *Evaluation*

- high quality of the results
- preserves topology, re-sample vertices
- not easy to implement
- not easy to use (selection of tuning parameters)
- adopts a global error evaluation, not-bounded approximation
- preserves vect/scalar attributes (e.g. color) **discontinuities**
- supports **multiresolution** output, geometric morphing, **progressive transmission, selective** refinements
- much **faster** than MeshOpt.

An implementation is present as parto of DirectX 6.0 tools

Decimation

Mesh Decimation

[Schroeder et al'92]

- Based on controlled removal of ***vertices***
- Classify vertices as ***removable*** or ***not*** (based on local topology / geometry and required precision)

Loop
- choose a *removable* vertex v_i
- delete v_i and the incident faces
- re-triangulate the hole

until
no more removable vertex **or** reduction rate fulfilled



- General method (manifold/non-manifold *input*)
- Algorithm phases:
  - topologic classification of vertices
  - evaluation of the decimation criterion (error evaluation)
  - re-triangulation of the removed triangles patch

Topologic classification of vertices

- for each vertex: find and characterize the loop of incident faces



- *interior edge*: if dihedral angle between faces $< k_{angle}$
  (k_{angle} : user driven parameter)
- *not-removable vertices*: complex, [corner]

Decimation criterion -- a vertex is *removable* if:

- **simple** vertex:
  if distance ***vertex - face loop average plane*** is lower than ε_{max}



- **boundary / interior / corner** vertices:
  if distance ***vertex - new boundary/interior edge*** is lower than ε_{max}



- adopts *local evaluation* of the approximation!!
- ε_{max} : value selected by the user

Re-triangulation

- face loops in general non planar ! (but star-shaped)
- adopts ***recursive loop splitting*** re-triangulation



control *aspect ratio* to ensure simplified mesh quality

- for each vertex removed:
  - *if* simple or boundary vertex ==> 1 loop
  - *if* interior edge vertex ==> 2 loops
  - *if* boundary vertex ==> - 1 face
  - *otherwise* ==> - 2 faces

Decimation - *Examples*



(images by W. Lorensen)

Original Mesh Decimation - *Evaluation*

- good efficiency (speed & reduction rate)
- simple implementation and use
- good approximation
- preserves topology; vertices are a subset of the original ones
- error is ***not*** bounded (local evaluation ==> accumulation of error!!)

Approximation Error Evaluation

Classification of simplification methods based on ***approximation error*** evaluation euristics:

User' viewpoint:
- simple to grasp
- simple to drive

- **locally-bounded** error, based on mesh distances
  [ex. standard Mesh Decimation]
- **globally bounded** error, based on mesh distances
  [ex. Envelopes + enhanced Decimation + others]

→ *very handy*

- control based on **mesh characteristics**
  [ex. vertex proximity, mesh curvature]

→ *may be misleading*

- **energy function** evaluation
  [ex. Mesh Optim. , Progr. Meshes]

→ *not easy, many parameters to be selected*

Heuristics proposed for ***global error evaluation***:

- ***accumulation*** *of local errors*
  [Ciampalini97]
  fast, **but** approximate

- ***vertex--to--simplified mesh*** **distance**
  [Soucy96]
  requires storing which of the original vertices maps to each simplified face;
  very near to exact value (but large under-estimation in the first steps)



... Heuristics proposed for ***global error evaluation***:

- ***input mesh -- to -- simplified mesh <u>edges</u>*** **distance**
  [Ciampalini97]
  - for each internal edge:
    - select sampling points $\mathbf{p}_i$ (regularly/random)
    - evaluate distance $d(M_0, \mathbf{p}_i)$

  sufficiently precise and efficient in time

- ***input mesh -- to -- simplified mesh*** **distance**
  [Klein96]
  precise, **but** more complex in time

- **use *envelopes*** [Cohen et al.'96]
  precise, no self-intersections **but** complex in time and to be implemented

Simplification Envelopes [Cohen et al.'96]

- given the input mesh ***M***
  - build two envelope meshes M_- and M_+ at distance $-\varepsilon$ and $+\varepsilon$ from ***M*** ;
  - simplify M (following a decimation approach) by enforcing the decimation criterion:
    a candidate vertex may be removed **only if** the new triangle patch does not intersect neither M_- or M_+



- by construction, envelopes do not self-intersect
  ==> simplified mesh is **not self-intersecting** !!



the fundamental prism

- distance between envelopes becomes smaller near the bending sections, and simplification harder
- **border tubes** are used to manage open boundaries

(drawing by A. Varshney)

Simplification Envelopes - *Evaluation*

- works on manifold surface **only**
- bounded approximation
- construction of envelopes and intersection tests are not cheap
- **>** three times more RAM (input mesh + envelopes + border tubes)
- preserve topology, vertices are a subset of the original, prevents self-intersection

available in public domain

Results

- Simplification times ~= linear with mesh size



no staircase abrupt error increase
(fundamental for the quality of the multiresolution output)

Construction of a multiresolution model

Keep the ***history*** of the simplification process :

- when we remove a vertex we have **dead** and **newborn** triangles
- assign to each triangle t a ***birth error*** t_b and a ***death error*** t_d equal to the error of the simplified mesh just before the removal of the vertex that caused the birth/death of t



By storing the ***simplification history*** (faces+errors) we can simply extract ***any approximation level*** in real time

Real-time resolution management

- by extracting from the ***history*** all the triangles t_i with $t_b <= \varepsilon < t_d$ we obtain a model $\mathbf{M_\varepsilon}$ which satisfies the approximation error $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$
- mantaining the whole *history* data structure costs approximately 2.5x - 3x the full resolution model



Simplification using Quadric Error Metrics

[Garland et al. Sig'97]

- Based on incremental **edge-collapsing**



- **but** can also collapse vertex couples which are **not connected** (topology is not preserved)



Geometric error approximation is managed by simplifying an approach based on **plane set distance**
[Ronfard,Rossignac96]

- INIT: store for each vertex the set of incident planes
- Vertex_Collapsing $(v_1, v_2) => v_{new}$
  - plane_set (v_{new}) = union of the two **plane sets** of v_1, v_2
  - collapse only if v_{new} is not "farther" from its plane set than the selected target error $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$

criticism:

- storing plane sets and computing distances is not cheap !

Quadric Error Metrics solution:

- quadratic distances to planes represented with **matrices**
  - plane sets merge *via* matrix sums
  - very efficient evaluation of error *via* **matrix operations**

  but
  - triangle size is taken into account only in an approximate manner (orientation only in Quadrics + weights)

Algorithm structure:

- select valid vertex pairs (upon their distance),

  insert them in an heap sorted upon minimum cost;
- **repeat**
  - extract a valid pair v_1, v_2 from heap and contract into v_{new};
  - re-compute the cost for all pairs which contain v_1 or v_2 and update the heap;

until sufficient reduction/approximation **or** heap empty

An example

- **Original.** Bones of a human's left foot (4,204 faces).
- Note the many separate bone segments.
- **Edge Contractions.** 250 face approximation.
- Bone seg-ments at the ends of the toes have disappeared; the toes appear to be receding back into the foot.



- **Clustering.** 262 face approximation.

[Images by Garland and Heckbert]

Quadric Error for Surfaces

- Let $\mathbf{n}^T\mathbf{v} + d = 0$ be the equation representing a plane
- The squared distance of a point $\boldsymbol{x}$ from the plane is

$$D(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{x}(\mathbf{n}\mathbf{n}^T)\mathbf{x} + 2d\mathbf{n}^T\mathbf{x} + d^2$$

- This distance can be represented as a quadric

$$Q = (A, \mathbf{b}, c) = (\mathbf{n}\mathbf{n}^T, d\mathbf{n}, d^2)$$

$$Q(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{x}A\mathbf{x} + 2\mathbf{b}^T\mathbf{x} + c$$

Quadric

- The boundary error is estimated by providing for each boundary vertex *v* a quadric Q_v representing the sum of the all the squared distances from the faces incident in *v*
  - The error of collapsing an edge e=(v,w) can be evaluated as Q_w (*v*).
  - After the collapse the quadric of *v* is updated as follow $Q_v = Q_v + Q_w$

Error
Domain Error

- The two dataset D and D' span different domains Ω, Ω'
- Same problem of classical surface simplification
- Measure the Hausdorff distance between the boundary surfaces of the two datasets D and D'
  - $e^a_f(D, D') = \max_{x \in \Omega} (\min_{y \in \Omega'} (\text{dist}(x,y)))$
  - $e_f(D, D') = \max(e^a_f(D, D'), e^a_f(D', D))$
- Various techniques to approximate this distance between two surfaces [Ciampalini et al. 97]

... Quadric Error Metrics Extension ...



Quadric can be exteneded to take into account:

• color and texture attributes error are computed by projecting them in R^{3+m} [Garland 98]

• by computing attribute error as the squared deviation between original value and the value interpolated [Hoppe 99]



... Quadric Error Metrics ...

Quadric Error Metrics -- *Evaluation*

- iterative, incremental method
- error is bounded
- allows topology simplification (aggregation of disconnected components)
- results are very high quality and ***times incredibly short***
- Various commercial packages use this technique (or variations)

Not-incremental methods:

- coplanar facets merging
  [Hinker et al. `93, Kalvin et al. `96]
- re-tiling
  [Turk `92]
- clustering
  [Rossignac et al. `93, ... + others]
- wavelet-based
  [Eck et al. `95]

Coplanar Facets Merging

Geometric Optimization
[Hinker `93]

- Construct nearly co-planar sets (comparing normals)
- Create edge list and remove duplicate edges
- Remove colinear vertices
- Triangulate resultant polygons



***Geometric Optimization* - Evaluation**

simple and efficient heuristic

- evaluation of approximation error is highly inaccurate and not bounded
  (error depends on relative size of merged faces)
- vertices are a subset of the original
- preserves geometric discontinuities (e.g. sharp edges) and topology

Superfaces [Kalvin, Taylor `96]

- group mesh faces in a set of *superfaces*:
  - iteratively choose a seed face f_i as the current *superface* Sf_j
  - find by propagation all faces adjacent to f_i whose vertices are at distance $\varepsilon/2$ from the mean plane to Sf_j and insert them in Sf_j
  - moreover, to be merged each face must have orientation similar to those of others in Sf_j
- straighten the *superfaces* border
- re-triangulate each *superface*

Superfaces - an example

- Simplification of a human skull (fitted isosurface), *images courtesy of IBM*



Superfaces **- Evaluation**

- slightly more complex heuristics
- evaluation of approximation error is more accurate and bounded
- vertices are a subset of the original ones
- preserves geometric discontinuities (e.g. sharp edges) and topology

Re-tiling

Re-Tiling **[Turk `92]**

- Distribute a new set of vertices into the original triangular mesh (points positioned using repulsion/relaxation to allow optimal surface curvature representation)
- Remove (part of) the original vertices
- Use local re-triangulation

no info in the paper on time complexity!



Clustering

Vertex Clustering **[Rossignac, Borrel `93]**

- detect and unify *clusters* of nearby vertices (discrete gridding and coordinates truncation)
- all faces with two or three vertices in a cluster are removed
- does not preserve topology (faces may degenerate to edges, genus may change)
- approximation depends on grid resolution



(figure by Rossignac)

- Simplification of a table lamp,
  IBM 3D Interaction Accelerator,
  courtesy IBM

10,108 facets 1,383 facets 474 facets 46 facets

- Simplification of a portion of Cluny Abbey,
  IBM 3D Interaction Accelerator, courtesy IBM France.



46,918 facets 6,181 facets 1,790 facets 16 facets

Clustering - *Evaluation*

- high efficiency (but timings are not reported in the paper)
- very simple implementation and use
- low quality approximations
- does not preserve topology
- error is bounded by the grid cell size

Multiresolution Analysis [Eck et al. '95, Lounsbery'97]

- Based on the ***wavelet*** approach
  - simple base mesh
  - + local correction terms (wavelet coefficients)
- Given input mesh M:
  - ***partition*** : build a low resolution base mesh K_0 with tolerance ε_1
  - ***parametrization*** : for each face of K_0 build a parametrization on the corresponding faces of M
  - ***resampling*** : apply ***j*** recursive quaternary subdivision on K_0 to build by parametrization different approximations $\boldsymbol{K_j}$
- Supports:
  bounded error, compact multiresolution repr., mesh editing at multiple scales

Hoppe's experiment: comparative eval. of quality of multiresolution representation

- Progressive Meshes



- Multiresolution Analysis



Multires Signal Processing for Meshes

[Guskov, Swelden,Schroeder 99]

- Still the ***Partition, Parmetrization and Resampling*** approach but the original mesh connectivity is retained:
  - partition is done on the simplified mesh
  - use of a ***non-uniform relaxation procedure*** (instead of standard triangle quadrisection) that mimics the inverse simplification process
  - Possibility of using signal processing techniques on mesh (eg. Smoothing, detail enhancement ...)

[image by courtesy of Guskov et al. 99]

Preserving detail on simplified meshes

- Problem Statement :

  how can we preserve in a *simplified* surface
  the **detail** (or **attribute value**)
  defined on the *original* surface ??

- What one would preserve:
  - **color** (per-vertex or texture-based)
  - **small variations of shape curvature** (bumps)
  - **scalar fields**
  - **procedural textures** mapped on the mesh

Approaches proposed in literature are:

- **integrated** in the simplification process

  (ad hoc solutions **embedded** in the simplification codes)

- **independent** from the simplification process

  (post-processing phase to restore attributes detail)

Integrated approaches:

- attribute-aware simplification
  - do not simplify an element ***e*** **IF** ***e*** is on the boundary of two regions with different attribute values

  or
  - use an enhanced multi-variate approximation evaluation metrics (shape+color+...)

    [Hoppe96,GarHeck98,Frank etal98, Cohen etal98]



(image by H. Hoppe)

- store removed detail in textures
  - *vertex-based* [Maruka95 , Soucyetal96]
  - *texture-based* [Krisn.etal96]
- preserve **topology** of the attribute field [Bajaj et al.98]

Simplification-Independent approach:

our Vis'98 paper [Cignoni etal 98]

- ***higher generality:*** attribute/detail preservation is not part of the simplification process
- performed as a ***post-processing*** phase (after simplification)
- any attribute can be preserved, by constructing an ad-hoc ***texture map***
- Used today in most games...

A simple idea:

- for each texel simplified face:
  - detect the original detail by choosing either the closest point or along the normal.





- an example of ***color*** preservation



- example of ***geometric detail*** preservation by **normal *mapping***

Original 20k face
simplified 500 face



Original 60k faces
simplified 250 faces

originale
500K triangles

semplificato ma con tessitura
2K triangles

Incremental Simp Method

The common framework:

- **loop**
  - ***select*** the element to be deleted/collapsed;
  - ***evaluate approximation*** introduced;
  - ***update*** the mesh after deletion/collapse;

until mesh **size/precision** is satisfactory;

Simplification using Quadric Error Metrics

[Garland et al. Sig'97]



- Based on incremental **edge-collapsing**

- **but** can also collapse vertex couples which are **not connected** (topology is not preserved)



The main simplification loop

```
vcg::LocalOptimization<MyMesh> DeciSession(mesh);

DeciSession.Init<MyTriEdgeCollapse >();

DeciSession.SetTargetSimplices(FinalSize);
DeciSession.SetTimeBudget(0.5f);

while(DeciSession.DoOptimization() && mesh.fn>FinalSize)
    printf("Current Mesh size %7i heap sz %9i err %9g \r",

   mesh.fn,DeciSession.h.size(),DeciSession.currMetric);

printf("mesh  %d %d Error %g \n",
                mesh.vn,mesh.fn,DeciSession.currMetric);
```


Classi in gioco

- LocalOptimization
  - Classe astratta per il loop di ottimizzazione
- LocalModification
  - Classe astratta per una generica operazione che modifica la mesh localmente con un certo costo
- EdgeCollapse
- TriEdgeCollapse
  - Particolare local modification
- TriEdgeCollapse Quadric



Local Modification

```
template <class MeshType> class LocalModification
{
 public:
        typedef typename LocalOptimization<MeshType>::HeapType HeapType;
        typedef typename MeshType::ScalarType ScalarType;

inline LocalModification(){};
virtual ~LocalModification(){};

virtual ModifierType IsOfType() = 0 ; /// return the type of operation
/// return true if the data have not changed since it was created
virtual bool IsUpToDate() = 0 ;
/// return true if no constraint disallow this operation to be performed (ex:
   change of topology in edge collapses)
virtual bool IsFeasible() = 0;
/// Compute the priority to be used in the heap
virtual ScalarType ComputePriority()=0;
/// Return the priority to be used in the heap (implement static priority)
virtual ScalarType Priority() const =0;
/// Perform the operation and return the variation in the number of
   simplicies (>0 is refinement, <0 is simplification)
virtual void Execute(MeshType &m)=0;
/// perform initialization
static void Init(MeshType &m, HeapType&);
  virtual const char *Info(MeshType &) {return 0;}
/// Update the heap as a consequence of this operation
virtual void UpdateHeap(HeapType&)=0;
};      //end class local modification
```


Local Modification

- Classe astratta generica
  - Potrebbe essere un edge collapse
  - Uno swap
  - Un vertex deletion ecc.
- Astrarre una generica operazione di modifica locale alla mesh
  - Adatta ad essere prioritizzata
    - Deve saper dare una priorita'
  - Sapersi applicare alla mesh
  - Sapere se e' sempre valida

EdgeCollapse e TriEdgeCollapse

- EdgeCollapse
  - Classe astratta per rappresentare un collasso di un edge su una generica mesh
  - Non sa nulla di priorita' quadriche ecc
- TriEdgeCollapse
  - Generica local op basata su collasso
    - Sa aggiornare lo heap
    - Eseguirsi, sapere se e' valida ecc.
  - Da questa si deriva quella con le quadriche

```
template <class TRI_MESH_TYPE>
class EdgeCollapse
{
typedef typename vcg::face::VFIterator<FaceType>  VFI;
typedef typename std::vector<vcg::face::VFIterator<FaceType> >
   VFIVec;

static VFIVec & AV0(){static VFIVec av0; return av0;}
static VFIVec & AV1(){static VFIVec av1; return av1;}
static VFIVec & AV01(){static VFIVec av01; return av01;}

bool LinkConditions(EdgeType  pos);
void FindSets(EdgeType &p)bool LinkConditions(EdgeType  pos);
int DoCollapse(EdgeType & c, const Point3<ScalarType> &p);
}
```


Topology Preservation

- 2-Manifold
  - A surface Σ in R^2 such that any point on Σ has an open neighborhood homeomorphic to an open disc or to half an open disc in R^2
- A edge collapse can create non manifold situations



Topology Preservation

- Let Σ be a 2 simplicial complex ***without boundary***
- Σ' is obtained by collapsing the edge $e = (ab)$
- Let $Lk(\sigma)$ be the set of all the faces of the co-faces of σ disjoint from σ



Topology Preservation

$Lk(a) \cap Lk(b) = \{x,y\} = Lk(ab)$

$Lk(a) \cap Lk(b) = \{x,y,z,zx\} \neq \{y,z\} = Lk(ab)$



Topology Preservation

- Mesh with boundary can be managed by considering a dummy vertex v_d and, for each boundary edge e a tetrahedron connecting e with v_d
- Think it wrapped on the surface of a sphere
-



doCollapse

```
for(i=AV01().begin();i!=AV01().end();++i)
{
 FaceType  & f = *((*i).f);
 assert(f.V((*i).z) == c.V(0));
 vcg::face::VFDetach(f,((*i).z+1)%3);
 vcg::face::VFDetach(f,((*i).z+2)%3);
 f.SetD();
 n_face_del++;
}

//set Vertex Face topology
for(i=AV0().begin();i!=AV0().end();++i)
{
 (*i).f->V((*i).z) = c.V(1);
        // In tutte le facce incidenti in v0, si sostituisce v0 con v1
 (*i).f->VFp((*i).z) = (*i).f->V((*i).z)->VFp();
   // e appendo la lista di facce incidenti in v1 a questa faccia
(*i).f->VFi((*i).z) = (*i).f->V((*i).z)->VFi();
(*i).f->V((*i).z)->VFp() = (*i).f;
(*i).f->V((*i).z)->VFi() = (*i).z;
}

c.V(0)->SetD();
c.V(1)->P()=p;
return n_face_del;
```


Lazy heap

- Si suppone di avere uno heap con tutte le operazioni
- Estraggo da heap e aggiorno la mesh
  - tali operazioni invalidano/modificano la mesh e quindi le priorità/validità di parte delle azioni già presenti nello Heap



Lazy Heap

- Due Soluzioni
  - Link espliciti elementi mesh->heap e aggiornamento dello stesso
- Lazy update
  - Si mettono nello heap tutte le nuove operazioni con la nuova priorità
  - Quando si estrae un'op dall heap si controlla che sia sempre valida
  - Di tanto in tanto garbage collection sullo heap

Marche incrementali

- Strumento generico per marcare oggetti in una collezione con
  - C(mark elem) = O(1)
  - C(unmark elem) = O(1)
  - C(unmark All Elem) = O(1)
  - Memorizza per ogni elem un intero *mark* invece di un bit
  - Esiste una marca globale a livello della collezione di elementi

Marche incrementali

- Un oggetto è marcato se
  - elem.mark==global.mark
- Marcatura di un elem
  - elem.mark := global.mark
- Smarcatura globale
  - global.mark++
  - Spesso le marche vengono dette anche marche ***temporali*** per indicare che dicono quando un certo elem è valido

Validità collasso

- Dati
  - Ogni vertice ha una marca temporale:
    - quando e' stato modificato l'ultima volta
  - Ogni collasso (coppia di vertici) ha una marca temporale
    - quando è stato inserito nello heap
- Un collasso è valido se
  - I due vertici non sono stati cancellati
  - Il collasso e' stato messo nello heap piu recentemente della data di ultima modifica dei vertici

Quadric Error for Surfaces

- Let $\mathbf{n}^T\mathbf{v} + d = 0$ be the equation representing a plane
- The squared distance of a point $\boldsymbol{x}$ from the plane is

$$D(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{x}(\mathbf{n}\mathbf{n}^T)\mathbf{x} + 2d\mathbf{n}^T\mathbf{x} + d^2$$

- This distance can be represented as a quadric

$$Q = (A,\mathbf{b},c) = (\mathbf{n}\mathbf{n}^T, d\mathbf{n}, d^2)$$
$$Q(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{x}A\mathbf{x} + 2\mathbf{b}^T\mathbf{x} + c$$

Quadric

–The boundary error is estimated by providing for each boundary vertex v a quadric Q_v representing the sum of the all the squared distances from the faces incident in v

- The error of collapsing an edge e=(v,w) can be evaluated as $Q_w(v)$.
- After the collapse the quadric of v is updated as follow $Q_v = Q_v + Q_w$